(b) and (c) Do not arise.

Recovery of damages after re-entry of institutional plots

5316. SHRI AKHILESH DAS: Will the Minister of URBAN DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) the number of cases in which proceedings under the PPE Act for recovery of damages / use and occupation charges after re-entry of institutional plots allotted to NGOs in the NCT of Delhi have been initiated;

(b) the number of cases in which further action to issue public notice cautioning the public not to pay rent or any other amount for the use of the premises or portion thereof to the ex-lessees has been taken;

(c) whether, issuing of such public notices by the lessor is provided for in the PPE Act; and

(d) if not, the reasons for issuing such public notices?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF URBAN DEVELOPMENT (SHRI BANDARU DATTATRAYA): (a) to (d) The information is being collected and will be laid on the Table of the House.

Repeal of ULCR Act by states

5317. SHRI R.S. GAVAI: Will the Minister of URBAN DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) the number of States which have repealed the Urban Land (Ceiling and Regulation) Act, 1976, so far;

(b) the number of States enacted their own laws to regulate transactions of urban land;

(c) whether Government have proposed a vacant land tax to be imposed by the State Government; and

(d) if so, the details thereof?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF URBAN DEVELOPMENT (SHRI BANDARU DATTATRAYA): (a) The Urban Land (Ceiling and Regulation) Act, 1976 has been repealed in the States of Haryana, Punjab, Uttar Pradesh, Gujarat, Karnataka & Madhya Pradesh and all the Union Territories so far.

(b) No information has been received from these States about enactment of their own laws to regulate transactions in the urban land.

(c) and (d) No such proposal is under consideration of the Government.

Pulling Down of DDA Flats

5318. SHRI P. PRABHAKAR REDDY: Will the Minister of URBAN DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) whether his attention has been drawn to the news item captioned, DDA will pull down 400 shoddily built flats which appeared in the Times of India, dated 22nd April, 2000;

(b) if so, the details thereof and the reasons for the demolition;

(c) whether any follow-up action has been taken to deal with the Engineers and Contractors responsible for the poor quality of construction;

(d) whether adequate compensation has been/is proposed to be paid to the allottees of the flats; and

(e) if not, the reasons therefor?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF URBAN DEVELOPMENT (SHRI BANDARU DATTATRAYA): (a) and (b) Yes, Sir. It is proposed to demolish 363 flats which were found to be of sub- standard construction.

The details of the flats proposed to be demolished are as under:

(i) 163 dwelling units (40 MIG + 123 LIG) in Mayur Vihar, Phase-I, pocket V.

(ii) 200 dwelling units (100 MIG + 100 LIG) in Block-R, Dilshad Garden, Delhi.

These flats are to be demolished due to sub-standard work.

(c) Yes, Sir.

(d) and (e) These flats were not released for allotment as the same were not declared complete. As such, there are no allottees of the flats in question. Hence, the question of payment of compensation does not arise.